



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

km
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/691,714

10/22/2003

Dennis P. Parazak

200300880-1

6863

22879

7590

08/30/2006

HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER

SHAH, MANISH S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2853

DATE MAILED: 08/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/691,714

Applicant(s)

PARAZAK ET AL.

Examiner

Manish S. Shah

Art Unit

2853

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 July 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirosawa et al. (# US 6557989) in view of Lavery et al. (# WO 0037258).

Hirosawa et al. discloses a method of making a medium, a means for making a substrate and a print head with orifice plate including:

- An orifice plate (element: H1105T; figure: 23; column: 20, line: 10-27) comprising at least two orifices (element: H1100T; figure: 23); wherein at least one orifice prints a first reactive ink; wherein at least one other orifice prints a fixer or a second reactive ink; wherein the first reactive ink and the second reactive ink react to form a solid precipitate; and wherein the solid precipitate is redispersible or redissolvable in at least one of the first reactive ink, or the second reactive ink (element: H1100T; figure: 5, 23; column: 20, line: 17-25; column: 10, line: 1-17).
- The orifice plate comprises at least two arrays of orifices and wherein one array prints the reactive ink and another array prints the fixer or the second reactive ink (element: H1100T; figure: 5, 23).

- The at least one other orifice prints a fixer (third reactive ink) (figure: 23, column: 20, line: 10-27).

- The printing is controlled by an electronic device (figure: 7-10).

Hirosawa et al. differs from the claim of the present invention is that:

- The fixer comprises a positively charged species, wherein the positively charged species is a polymer.

- The fixer is selected from the group consisting of poly(ethyleneimine).

- The fixer comprises polyethyleneimine and poly(biguanidine) or salts thereof.

- The ink includes at least two dyes.

Lavery et al. teaches that to get the sharp, high water-fastness, light-fastness and high optical density printed image, the inkjet printing process includes applying ink composition and applying fixing composition, wherein ink includes at least two dyes (page: 8, line: 5-10; 19-21). They also teach that the fixer comprises a positively charged species, wherein the positively charged species is a polymer (see Abstract; page: 3, line: 25-30).

- The fixer is selected from the group consisting of poly(ethyleneimine) (page: 3, line: 34).

- The fixer comprises polyethyleneimine and poly(biguanidine) or salts thereof (page: 3, line: 10-25).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the first reactive ink and second reactive ink of Hirose et al. by

the aforementioned teaching of Lavery et al. in order to have the sharp, high water-fastness, light-fastness and high optical density printed image.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 7/12/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argued that the Hirosawa et al. does not discuss at all the problem associated with the cross contamination of reactive ink. They does not make mention at all of chemical solution to these types of problems. However, examiner used Hirosawa et al. reference to show the print head structure. They have the same structure, what applicant's claiming in the claimed (column: 20, line: 10-27), therefore it solve the same problems like cross contamination at nozzle plate.

Applicant argued that the Lavery does not solve the problem associated with cross contamination and reducing clogging of nozzles. However, the examiner used this reference to shows an ink compositions having same chemicals, and because of the same chemicals, it has same properties as applicant's claimed invention. Therefore it is obvious to use the ink composition of the Lavery et al. in to the printing apparatus of Hirosawa et al.

Conclusion


2. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manish S. Shah whose telephone number is (571) 272-2152. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen D. Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Manish S. Shah
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2853

MSS

8/22/06